00001 1 1 2 2	
3 4 4 5	STATE OF TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND INSURANCE
5 5 6	BEFORE THE TENNESSEE BOARD FOR LICENSING CONTRACTORS
7 7 8	BOARD MEETING September 23, 2008
8 9 9 10	Mariott Knoxville 500 Hill Avenue, S.E. Knoxville, Tennessee
10 11 11	BOARD MEMBERS
12 12 13 13 14 14 15	Larry Parks, Chairman Cindi G. DeBusk, Vice Chairman Keith Whittington, Secretary Frank Neal, Public Member Reece Smith, III, Residential Jack Ronnie Tickle, Residential Cliff Hunt, Highway
16 16	STAFF MEMBERS
17 17 18 18	Wayne Pugh, Esq. Counsel for the Board
19 19 20	Carolyn Lazenby Executive Director
20 21 21 22	Talise Roberts Assistant Director
22 23 23	
24242525	MINUTES OF BOARD MEETING PROCEEDINGS SEPTEMBER 23, 2008

1	TRANSCRIPT OF BOARD MEETING
2	The following is a transcript of the
3	meeting of the Tennessee Board for Licensing Contractors,
4	which came on to be held on this the 23rd day of September
5	2008, Chairman Larry Parks presiding, the meeting being
6	conducted at the Mariott Knoxville, 500 Hill Avenue, S.E.,
7	Knoxville, Tennessee.
8	* * * * *
9	MR. PARKS: We will begin with the roll
10	call on my left.
11	MR. HUNT: Cliff Hunt, Memphis.
12	MR. TICKLE: Jack Tickle, Memphis.
13	MR. SMITH: Reece Smith, Franklin.
14	MR. WHITTINGTON: Keith Whittington,
15	Johnson City.
16	MR. PARKS: Larry Parks, Chattanooga.
17	MS. DeBUSK: Cindi Debusk, Knoxville.
18	MR. NEAL: Frank Neal, Nashville.
19	MR. PARKS: Thank you. At this time on
20	the agenda is the review and approval and adoption of the
21	agenda that is inside our notebook. Does anybody have any
22	additions to that?
23	MR. WHITTINGTON: I would like to add
24	one. And that is a discussion for the qualifying agent
25	requirements.
	JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE
	(865) 687-8981

1	MR. PARKS: Okay. Anybody else have
2	anything?
3	(Nothing indicated)
4	MR. PARKS: May I have a motion to adopt
5	the amendment?
6	MR. WHITTINGTON: So move.
7	MR. PARKS: Do I hear a second?
8	MR. NEAL: Second.
9	MR. PARKS: All in favor, say aye.
10	(Vote is taken)
11	MR. PARKS: Motion carries. Thank you.
12	Ratification of partnerships approved by the Executive
13	Director. They are behind tab 1. I need a motion for
14	acceptance of those various changes that are hardship
15	increases, et cetera.
16	MR. SMITH: What are they? I don't
17	remember those.
18	MR. PARKS: It is the provision that
19	changed in the law a year or I guess, about two years ago
20	that allowed for the hardship for the owner that is
21	written by an owner or a general for a sub. And then upon
22	their receipt, it goes to a board member, usually me, I
23	think.
24	MS. LAZENBY: Yes. Frank did some.
25	MR. PARKS: Frank did some in the past.
	JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE

1		MR. SMIT	H: (Inaudible) And it is
2	approved		
3			S: This is approved and
4		MR. SMIT	H: (Interposing) and
5	ratified		
6		MR. PARK	S: Right.
7		MR. NEAL	: We probably ought to say
8	there approved by the	he Execut	ive Director and at least one
9	board member.		
10		MR. PARK	S: That is probably true. The
11	law says (Inaudil	ole).	
12		MS. LAZE	NBY: Yeah, the law says the
13	director, but you a	ll voted	on policy and I think we will
14	need a (Inaudible	e) rul	e.
15		MR. SMIT	H: Director and one board
16	member?		
17		MR. PARK	S: May I have a motion?
18		MR. SMIT	H: Move for approval.
19			S: Is there a second?
20			: Second.
21		MR. PARK	S: All in favor, say aye.
22		(Vote is	taken)
23		MR. PARK	S: Thank you.
24			: In the future on that memo,
25	it would be helpful	to have	what the prior classification or
	-		COURT REPORTING SERVICE
		(865)	687-8981
		. ,	

1	limit was.
2	MS. LAZENBY: Sure.
3	MR. HUNT: Similar to what we see on the
4	regular increases and (Inaudible)
5	MR. PARKS: Or just relist them in
6	MS. LAZENBY: I think they
7	MR. HUNT: (Interposing) They may be
8	relisted.
9	MS. LAZENBY: They are listed in the
10	under the revisions in the new application.
11	MR. PARKS: No, they're not. No,
12	they're not. Or at least the first one isn't. 49691 isn't.
13	No, they are not listed on here. So, that is up to Karen
14	and can start putting on the list. That would be my
15	preference. Is that okay with you, Cliff?
16	MR. HUNT: Yeah, that would be fine.
17	MR. PARKS: Okay.
18	MS. LAZENBY: I will see that that is
19	changed.
20	MR. PARKS: Next item is the review and
21	approval of the July 2008 transcript, which is behind tab 2
22	in our books.
23	MR. WHITTINGTON: So move.
24	MR. SMITH: Second.
25	MR. PARKS: Discussion?
	JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE
	(865) 687-8981

(None Indicated)
MR. PARKS: All in favor, say aye.
(Vote is taken)
MR. PARKS: Passed. The next item is
the review and approval of the interviewed and waived
applicants behind tab 3, two pages of scheduled interviews,
and then what problems with the waivers.
MR. HUNT: Move for approval.
MR. TICKLE: Second.
MR. PARKS: All right. Any other
discussion? Does anybody have new files?
MR. HUNT: Yes.
MR. PARKS: Go ahead, Cliff.
MR. HUNT: This is more of a general
question that came up from an interviews. And we went
through a period, a short-time period, probably four to six
weeks, when a it had to do with our web site.
When an application was received
Carolyn is going to pass it out the they are logged into
our database as soon as the application is received. And at
one point Let's go further because everybody has
probably visited the web site before, but just to refresh
your memory, that first page is what comes up when you do a
search. You get this basic outline on the companies.
And then there is, down at the bottom
JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE
(865) 687-8981

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24

25

9/23/08 BOARD FOR LICENSING CONTRACTORS MEETING

left, there is a button you can click to get details. The second page would be the details that come up. And at one 3 time when you went to this detail page, the -- I guess it is that frame description where it says unapproved application, it said "licensed" for about a four to six week period 5 6 before it was changed. 7 And I interviewed a company that had 8 applied for a license back in July, went to check on the 9 status of the license, clicked on this and saw that it said 10 they were licensed, and upon seeing that, entered into 11 negotiations for a contract. 12 And then they show up today. Someone 13 had turned them in for unlicensed activity. 14 And I interviewed them today and they 15 have not taken any action yet on the license. They are fine 16 as far as monetary limit and classifications and so forth,

have not taken any action yet on the license. They are fine as far as monetary limit and classifications and so forth, but technically and they fully admitted that they have been acting as a contractor in that time period from when they saw on the web site that they were licensed until today.

MR. PARKS: Are they not at some point

sent a letter that basically says your application is processed or you are not allowed to do -- you are not a contractor until you --

MR. HUNT: (Interposing) -- Yes.

MR. PARKS: -- receive it from this

JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE

(865) 687-8981

1 board? MR. HUNT: It doesn't say that. It says 3 some things like that, but in this particular case, there are multiple offices involved, and the letter went to an 5 office in another state and the recipient was out of town for quite a few weeks. In the meantime, the office, the 6 local office, I guess, saw the information about being 7 8 licensed and started process with the owner -- This was at 9 the owner's request that they were involved in the project. 10 It is just a hindsight. 11 MR. PUGH: I think there was some 12 preliminary -- There was no contract or anything, just some 13 preliminary discussions about calls and that type of thing. 14 MR. HUNT: Yeah. There has not been a 15 bid or any part of a fee schedule, project amounts, or 16 anything like that. It has mainly been budgetary 17 discussions and so forth. 18 MR. PARKS: On the second page, it said 19 licensed or whatever it said. What did it say on the first 20 page in that third box that says license status? Do you 21 know? 22 MR. PUGH: Application applied --23 (inaudible) -- processing. 24 MS. LAZENBY: On page 1? 25 MR. PARKS: Yeah. JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE

```
MS. LAZENBY: It said what it says on
     there: "Application in process."
3
                         MR. PARKS: Well, that --
4
                         MS. LAZENBY: (Interposing) -- Nothing
5
     else --
6
                         MR. PARKS: This is -- I equate this to
7
     the problem we had for so long with the ID card that said
8
     this certifies -- I mean, it applies -- (Inaudible) -- but
9
     this certifies you have been authorized to do anything you
10
     want to in the state of Tennessee.
11
                         MS. LAZENBY: Right.
12
                         MR. PARKS: Which wasn't true and that
13
     was very misleading and caused some people to have been --
14
     (Inaudible) sprinkler contractors more than anything else, I
15
     think -- (Inaudible) -- maybe one of them to cause a
16
     violation either with us or with other boards. I don't
17
     know. I don't have a problem with waiving that infraction
18
     or forgiving that infraction. Do you all agree with that?
                         MR. HUNT: Well, now, let me reiterate
19
     that the complaint is filed. So, it is --
20
21
                         MR. PARKS: Did -- And, Wayne, I think
22
     it was you that said they were only in negotiations, so
23
     if --
24
                         MR. PUGH: (Interposing) -- It was only
25
     from my understanding --
              JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE
                             (865) 687-8981
```

1	MR. PARKS: it wasn't in
2	mid-solicitation, so
3	MR. HUNT: It may have been a I think
4	there were four invited contractors or parties to make a
5	proposal on the project. And, Larry, you will appreciate
6	this, it is somewhat a relationship between the architect
7	and the contractor having done some other jobs within other
8	states in the past.
9	MR. SMITH: This contractor, or the one
10	that is complaining?
11	MR. HUNT: This contractor.
12	MR. PARKS: But there was no bid, so
13	there wasn't any class or limit requirement on any umbrella;
14	right?
15	MR. HUNT: That's right. There were no
16	bid opening It is still in process, so there is
17	MR. PARKS: Right.
18	MR. WHITTINGTON: There is an
19	appropriate value of the project, but that is probably plus
20	or minus 20 percent.
21	MR. PARKS: Do you have a recommendation
22	on how we handle it, how we (Inaudible) you and
23	Wayne (Inaudible)
24	MR. PUGH: Just make a demand.
25	MR. PARKS: Huh? I'm sorry.
	JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE

1	MR. HUNT: I would recommend that we go
2	ahead and issue the license. I feel like the contractor has
3	acted in good faith and has been cooperative throughout the
4	discussions, but I think we will probably hear from the
5	complaining contractor if we do grant the license. I don't
6	know for sure that all three of the other contractors are
7	licensed. That is something I don't even think we have
8	any other contractor. It was an anonymous complaint. But I
9	would recommend awarding the license.
10	MR. NEAL: Is that a motion?
11	MR. HUNT: I will make that in the form
12	of a motion.
13	MR. NEAL: Second.
14	MR. PARKS: Okay. Do we need to get the
15	name on the record, or do you prefer it remain anonymous?
16	MR. PUGH: Remain anonymous.
17	MR. PARKS: Okay.
18	MR. PUGH: I have discovered that much.
19	MR. PARKS: Okay. Any other
20	discussions?
21	(None Indicated)
22	MR. PARKS: All in favor, say aye.
23	(Vote is taken.)
24	MR. PARKS: All right. I had one more.
25	It was a waived application. (Inaudible) Chris Rogers
	JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE

1	Electric. I think it came in after the deadline and I don't
2	see it on the list, but it is the one I was asking about,
3	somebody here in Knoxville. I just wanted to make sure it
4	gets on the list. I don't think there is any amendment. We
5	made a fax copy. Anybody else have a waiver/interview
6	question?
7	(None Indicated)
8	MR. PARKS: All in favor, say aye.
9	(Vote is taken)
10	MR. PARKS: Thank you. Next are the
11	revisions, and they are behind tab 4. As classes
12	increase (Inaudible) and limits change in load, QA's,
13	et cetera. Anybody have any Or do I have a motion for
14	acceptance of those?
15	MR. NEAL: So move.
16	MR. PARKS: Is there a second?
17	MS. DEBUSK: Second.
18	MR. PARKS: Is there any question or
19	discussion?
20	(None Indicated)
21	MR. PARKS: All in favor, say aye.
22	(Vote is taken)
23	MR. PARKS: Passed. LLE application
24	behind tab 5, and I am assuming that Cindi has approved.
25	
۷ ک	MS. DEBUSK: Approved all but two. JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE
	OIM PARAS ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE

1 MR. PARKS: And those are? MS. DEBUSK: Brian Chance. He has a 3 felony conviction. And I don't know if he is still on probation. This information is not detailed enough for me 5 to determine. He is still on probation and exactly what --MR. PARKS: (Inaudible). For the 6 7 record, clear that up. 8 MS. LAZENBY: Thank you. 9 MS. DEBUSK: And the second one is 10 Norman LaRue. And he is convicted of a felony. And I need 11 detailed explanation why or what happened exactly. 12 MR. NEAL: They don't tell you what 13 their felony was? 14 MS. DEBUSK: There are court documents 15 here, but it does not -- It just says Class B, burglary. He 16 is charged with burglary. (Inaudible) -- whether it was on 17 someone's home. But we need more information about what happened and why it happened and so forth. 18 19 MR. PARKS: So, are you asking for 20 approval on the two, or approval on all, including those 21 two, you are satisfied with the information you have? 22 MS. DEBUSK: Approval of all, including 23 the two. I'm satisfied with the information I received. 24 MR. PARKS: Is there a second on that 25 motion?

1 MR. SMITH: Second. 2 MR. PARKS: Discussion or questions? 3 (None Indicated) 4 MR. PARKS: All in favor, say aye. 5 (Vote is taken) 6 MR. PARKS: Passed. Next is the legal 7 report, residential subcommittee, residential report was 8 passed out. Keith, do you have 1 through 8? 9 MR. WHITTINGTON: Yes. The first, 1 is 10 okay. 11 Number 2, there is a recommendation for 12 a consent order providing for the relinquishment of the 13 license authorization for formal hearing. I agree that we 14 need to do that. 15 3, I agree. 4. 16 Number 5, obviously, a pre-licensing 17 course did not obtain the board's approval before administering their course. It advertised and promoted the 18 19 fees charged for pre-licensing courses would be waived if a student failed to pass any examination required by the 20 21 board. I think that is against our rules. 2.2 The Respondent petitioned the board for 23 relief of the citation as well as the surety bond required, 24 both of which the board denied. Respondent has not yet paid the citation or completed the application process. The JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE

1	recommendation to discuss, I think that
2	MR. PUGH: (Interposing) The reason
3	we did is we pulled the information from the web site and
4	evidently this same provider was still advertising on the
5	web site a home I think he had a course for a home CD
6	home study. Based on Tennessee laws, they are not approved.
7	MR. PARKS: If they are not approved,
8	that
9	MR. SMITH: (Interposing) May I make
10	a recommendation that we increase the civil penalty to
11	\$1,000.00? I will write it and ask
12	MR. PARKS: (Interposing) Can you
13	send down a cease and desist order?
14	MR. PUGH: I will put down a cease and
15	desist
16	MR. SMITH: Yeah.
17	MR. PARKS: And just that really isn't a
18	residential issue. That is You either I don't what
19	you call it.
20	MR. HUNT: Can we give them a date to
21	shut down and make it \$1,000.00 a day
22	MR. PUGH: It would be immediately shut
23	down.
24	MR. HUNT: I thought that is as far as
25	they could fine, to start having days at \$1,000.00 a day.
	JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE

```
1
                          MR. PUGH: I can take care of that.
 2
                          MR. PARKS: $1,000.00 fine per day after
 3
     notice.
                          MR. NEAL: After giving them ten (10)
 5
     days or something like that?
                          MR. PARKS: I don't think -- All they
 6
 7
     have to do is turn off their web site.
 8
                          MR. PUGH: Sometimes it is not quite
9
     that simple.
10
                          MS. DEBUSK: Can we advertise the CD's
11
     as the -- (Inaudible) -- average theme on the web site?
12
      (Inaudible) --
13
                          MR. NEAL: Ten days? Five days?
                          MR. PUGH: Ten days.
MR. NEAL: All right. Can you go back
14
15
16
     up there to number 2? Excuse me. It says that said owner
17
     provides for an interest in their license authorization to
     perform. I assume that means it adds on to the sign --
18
19
     (Inaudible)
20
                          MR. PUGH: Yes, sir.
MR. NEAL: -- that this was alleged, but
21
2.2
     it didn't -- (Inaudible) -- their performance?
23
                          MR. PUGH: Yes, sir.
24
                          MR. WHITTINGTON: Okay. Number 6, agree
     with the recommendation to dismiss.
25
               JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE
```

Number 7, I assume we need to discuss 1 the amount of reduced civil penalty based on the new 3 information. MR. PUGH: If he will agree to that, or 5 do you want to hold up? MR. WHITTINGTON: There was still a lot 6 7 of incompetence, according to the board investigator. I say 8 we should leave the penalty at \$1500.00. 9 MR. NEAL: You got another one? 10 MR. SMITH: Well, there is nothing under 11 8, but I -- This is --12 MR. PUGH: Actually, this one was 13 presented a while back and was closed with a letter of 14 warning. Respondent is asking that you rescind the level of 15 the warning. The circumstances were he failed to complete, 16 he filed bankruptcy. I assume we will rescind that. 17 MR. WHITTINGTON: Number 9, I'm okay. 18 Number 10 is a 12(e), that didn't 19 understand the proper licensing procedure. My only question there, it says they are in litigation. Should we hold that 20 21 license? On our normal -- On our regularly licensed people, 22 if we find out they are in violation, then we hold it for 23 six (6) months. 24 MR. PUGH: Okay. You talking about 25 number 10?

MR. WHITTINGTON: Yes. I'm okay with 2 not holding it. I just didn't know if we needed to --3 MR. PUGH: The grantor? 4 MR. WHITTINGTON: Yes. Oh, it is gone 5 now. Okay. 6 MR. PUGH: I was more familiar with his 7 policy on --8 MR. WHITTINGTON: Okay. He was granted 9 on March 20 -- Okay. So, it is gone. We can't do that. 10 Well, we can fine him \$1,000.00. Okay. Do not agree with 11 number 10. (Inaudible) -- application. Runs an 12 application. 13 MR. SMITH: Well, we didn't pick up on 14 it because we didn't know that he was a contractor without a 15 license. He didn't tell us. And then so I guess it went 16 through the system and was issued about a week or ten days 17 later, and then this complaint came about. 18 MR. PUGH: Me personally, this is just 19 my personal opinion, I take a dim view of anybody 20 misrepresenting themselves on an application. I mean, that 21 is basically lying to the board. 22 MR. WHITTINGTON: We have been told over 23 the years that the only way to reverse that is through a 24 formal hearing unless they have a -- (Inaudible) -- they are 25 pursuing.

1	MR. PUGH: I don't mind doing a formal
2	hearing.
3	MR. SMITH: Well, we are fining him
4	\$1,000.00.
5	MR. PARKS: (Inaudible) formal
6	hearing
7	MR. PUGH: Yeah.
8	MR. PARKS: (Inaudible) will get you
9	a revocation for falsifying a license or application.
10	MR. WHITTINGTON: And I'm okay with your
11	recommendation. LLE, he might not have a 1,000.00, but we
12	are going to find out.
13	MR. PUGH: Yeah. If he did, he ought to
14	be
15	MS. LAZENBY: (Interposing) Yeah, he
16	should be restricted, yeah.
17	MR. PUGH: Restricted, yeah.
18	MR. HUNT: Is he going to appear before
19	us?
20	MR. SMITH: No, but he is going to mail
21	in \$1000.00 or appear before us.
22	MR. TICKLE: Okay.
23	MR. SMITH: Okay. Number 11, it says
24	discuss. I'm okay to dismiss, but do you still want to
25	discuss?

1	MR. PUGH: I will take your
2	recommendation.
3	MR. SMITH: Okay.
4	MR. NEAL: They can't combine their
5	license, though, to get a higher monetary limit.
6	MR. PUGH: Why not?
7	MR. SMITH: When they have a joint
8	venture, they're not
9	MR. PUGH: Actually, in this situation,
10	they actually formed a new LLC. And everything is being
11	done under that new LLC. It is not licensed.
12	MR. WHITTINGTON: Hell, no.
13	MR. NEAL: They don't have a license on
14	that one.
15	MR. PARKS: Then we don't want to
16	dismiss it.
17	MR. SMITH: Okay. Well, I thought they
18	just did a joint venture.
19	MR. PUGH: Evidently, they actually
20	formed a new legal entity, a new LLC, and everything is
21	being done with that new LLC.
22	MR. SMITH: Otherwise, how would they
23	combine their licenses to bid?
24	MR. PUGH: They could have had a joint
25	venture. You do have the rule that you can do a joint
	JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE

```
venture. You go out and set up a new LLC, you set up a new,
      separate legal entity, and everything is run through that
 3
      legal entity, and that legal entity is not licensed.
                         MR. NEAL: And even that joint venture
 5
     both of the parties have to have a license at least to
 6
     satisfy the amount of the contract that they are entering
 7
      into. They can't have one with $164,000.00 and another one
8
     with $164,000.00 and do a $300,000.00 contract.
9
                         MR. PARKS: Yes, they can if they've got
10
      a joint venture. They can do it that way.
11
                         MR. PUGH: And the other contractor in
12
     this situation is limited to $600,000.00. If they have done
13
      a proper joint venture, I think they could have done that.
14
                         MR. PARKS: Yeah.
15
                         MR. PUGH: They set up that LLC for a
16
     joint venture.
17
                         MR. PARKS: Are they doing jobs in
18
     excess of $764,000.00?
19
                         MR. SMITH: I'm not sure they did that
20
     to try to skirt the law or get around it.
21
                         MR. PUGH: I don't.
22
                         MR. SMITH: You do?
23
                         MR. PUGH: I do not.
24
                         MR. SMITH: Oh. They probably just
25
      thought that was much more proper than joint venturing.
               JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE
```

```
MR. HUNT: They do.
1
 2
                         MR. SMITH: They do?
 3
                         MR. NEAL: You can't add 160 and 160 and
 4
     get 320.
 5
                         MR. PARKS: Yes, you can.
 6
                         MR. NEAL: Can't do it.
7
                         MR. PARKS: Yes, you can.
8
                         MR. PUGH: Can't do it.
9
                         MR. PARKS: Yes, you can.
10
                         MR. NEAL: You can't do it.
11
                         MS. DeBUSK: You have to be licensed.
                         MR. PARKS: Yeah, but you can allow two
12
13
     people to combine them.
14
                         MR. TICKLE: If you turn to page 62 on
15
     Joint Ventures, it says: "A joint venture provides a means
16
     by which licensed contractors may combine their monetary
17
     limitations in order to undertake a larger project than each
     would otherwise be able to perform." I think that is what
18
19
     they were trying to do.
20
                         MR. PUGH: One of the contractors had a
21
     $600,000.00 limit, the other one had this $164,000.00. It
22
     looks like the houses are selling between --
                         MS. CANTER: $300,000.00 and
2.3
     $400,000.00.
24
25
                         MR. PUGH: $300,000.00 and $400,000.00?
               JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE
```

1	MR. PARKS: See, now, they may well have
2	pooled the last ones under one of them (Inaudible) or
3	something. So, they may not have set out just to beat
4	anybody, beat the law.
5	MR. NEAL: I don't think they did set
6	out to beat the law.
7	MR. PARKS: It may have been through
8	ignorance.
9	MR. TICKLE: They have done the LLC
10	rather than do another joint venture.
11	MR. PUGH: They did the letter of
12	warning.
13	MR. SMITH: Yes, it explained what they
14	did, sort of.
15	MR. TICKLE: I just don't think they
16	knew what they were doing. Somebody just
17	MR. PUGH: (Interposing) Well, that's
18	what I say. They may have talked to an attorney and he
19	said, yeah, you can do an LLC.
20	MR. PARKS: Yeah, form an LLC.
21	MR. PUGH: An LLC can very simply
22	contract with one of those two licensed contractors.
23	MR. SMITH: I'm okay on 12, close with a
24	letter of warning.
25	13, dismiss.
	TIM DADKO + ATTIED COUDE DEDODETNO CEDITOR

14, close.
15, close.
And 16, close with letters of warning
regarding contracting and the namee licensee.
MR. PARKS: Cliff?
MR. HUNT: Go ahead with whatever you
want to do.
MR. PARKS: All right.
MR. HUNT: 17, we agree with the
recommendation.
18, we agreed with.
MR. TICKLE: (Affirmative Nod of Head)
MR. HUNT: 19 is okay.
MR. WHITTINGTON: 19 is probably okay.
And that is the one with the age old discussion of you
include the land and so forth relative to the license. So,
19 is okay with reservation.
20, recommending a \$500.00 consent order
for unlicensed activity.
21, \$500.00 consent order for unlicensed
activity.
Okay with 22 and 23.
And, 24, I'm not sure if we have reached
an agreement on it. I would recommend closing it. I'm not
sure why we would send a letter of warning on something that
JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE
(865) 687-8981

1	doesn't
2	MR. PUGH: (Interposing) A stop work
3	order, would that rescind that conduct?
4	MR. HUNT: Well, do we
5	MR. TICKLE: Municipalities would
6	could put a stop work order on the file. They can do that.
7	MR. SMITH: It said the engineering
8	department did that.
9	MR. PUGH: When I look at it, I looked
10	at what the law said. Misconduct is grounds for
11	disciplinary action. And, again, we have already stopped
12	with work orders on this project. He has been complying
13	with them, actually went to court and was complying with
14	them. And he has been punished once. And that is why I
15	kind of did the letter of warning.
16	MR. TICKLE: What is his prior
17	complaints, six close He had six different times that he
18	has had problems?
19	MS. CANTER: (Affirmative Shake of Head
20	MR. HUNT: It could be unrelated to
21	different committees. I just think it is a little bit of
22	double jeopardy. He has been to court and the court has
23	dealt with it, the way he handled it with stop work orders.
24	But I understand what you are saying about the misconduct.
25	I just know there is usually a lot more circumstances than JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE

the brief information we have here. MR. TICKLE: I agree. 3 MR. PUGH: Just close it? Okay. 4 MR. PARKS: Thank you. Commercial 5 contracting. I believe Frank and I thought the question was 6 I object to the closing that one commercial without --7 (Inaudible) And we have -- (Inaudible) -- if that didn't 8 apply. What it may not say here, but what may have been the 9 intent was they cleared it for the lumber? 10 MR. PUGH: I'm not sure if they cleared 11 it for the lumber. 12 MR. PARKS: Okay. But in the past, we 13 had one where someone was tearing down a building, where 14 someone was demolishing a building for the value of the 15 materials. They had to have a license and we based the 16 limit based on the payment bond with that particular owner 17 that was applying. So, just because some mayor conferred with some attorney doesn't hold much water, in my court. 18 19 MR. PUGH: My understanding, this is only dealing with the cutting of the timber and throwing the 20 21 timber off the track. 2.2 MR. PARKS: Well, the cutting and 23 clearing timber, we may not be required, but if it is 24 clearing brush, then that is clearing and grubbing. And if so, a license is required. 25

1	MR. PUGH: Definition calls for
2	excavation as part of the contract. That is part of the
3	definition in the contract. Clearing, I'm not sure falls
4	within the definition.
5	MR. PARKS: It includes A, C. It
6	includes grading, drainage, pipe obstruction, clearing and
7	grubbing.
8	MR. NEAL: Same thing on (Inaudible)
9	MR. PUGH: We are down to the rule?
10	MR. PARKS: Yeah, page 68.
11	MR. HUNT: In other words, if he
12	miscontracted if all he did was cut the timber and haul
13	it off to be sold, that is one thing, but if he was to cut
14	the timber, take the stumps out and clear the roots, then
15	that it is a much bigger project and would probably
16	require a license.
17	MR. PUGH: I'm not sure I can answer
18	that. It says clearing and timber cutting and gives a
19	price, credit for sale of timber. It doesn't say credit for
20	sale of logs.
21	MR. NEAL: The clearing (Inaudible)
22	MR. PARKS: It also says the bid wasn't
23	accepted. Does that mean it got awarded to somebody with a
24	license or
25	MR. PUGH: (Inaudible) might have
	JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE
	(865) 687-8981

1	been ordered.
2	MR. NEAL: What it says, he was doing an
3	industrial development site.
4	MR. PARKS: And I'm guessing it was a
5	county industrial or a rural county buddy-buddy deal. But I
6	would suggest a letter of warning to the Respondent. First
7	I would suggest (Inaudible) But if the bid wasn't
8	accepted, then I guess I'm happy with a letter of warning
9	and it saying clearing and grubbing requires a license,
10	brush removal requires a license, cutting of timber does
11	not. Do you agree with that?
12	MR. PUGH: There was not
13	MS. LAZENBY: (Interposing) Cutting
14	timber?
15	MR. PARKS: Uh-huh.
16	MS. LAZENBY: Does it lead to the same
17	thing?
18	MR. PARKS: Not just if it is timber
19	being harvested to sell the lumber.
20	MS. LAZENBY: Yeah.
21	MR. PARKS: That doesn't require a
22	license. But if I've got to go ahead and clear and grub the
23	site to rebank it or build a building on it or whatever,
24	that is construction.
25	MS. LAZENBY: (Affirmative Nod of Head)
	JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE

Wayne?
44b1c)
dible)
d.
on't do
it
ents and
ne
n?
ye.
rt.
we need
a copy.
ne home
S
narges

instead of -- or is that what the law under home improvement -- I don't know. Or why would you not --3 MR. HUNT: Same old thing. We don't 4 have jurisdiction over unlicensed contractors. 5 MR. PARKS: But if it is in a county 6 that requires a home improvement license, then we -- they 7 have broken that law. So, they should at least be sent some 8 kind of a notice. 9 MR. PUGH: They would have to be fined 10 if we do send them a notice. 11 MR. PARKS: Okay. And then number 4 is 12 a contractor's law versus home improvement law violation because it is \$44,000.00. It is over \$25,000.00. 13 14 MR. SMITH: Doesn't have either. 15 MR. PARKS: Doesn't have either, but, I 16 mean, it is a --17 MR. PUGH: You know he does have an HIC 18 license. 19 MR. PARKS: Okay. He has an HIC. So, 20 he has violated the terms and conditions of that license and 21 he has contracted without a license, as far as our law goes; 22 right? 23 MR. SMITH: Yes. 24 MR. PARKS: I'm okay with that penalty of \$1,000.00 as long as you all --25 JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE

1	MR. SMITH: Cease and desist.
2	MR. PARKS: cease and desist.
3	Does anybody else have any comments on
4	the home improvement report?
5	(None Indicated)
6	MR. PARKS: May I have a motion for
7	acceptance of it?
8	MR. TICKLE: Motion.
9	MR. PARKS: Is there a second?
10	MR. WHITTINGTON: Second.
11	MR. PARKS: Thank you. All in favor,
12	say aye.
13	(Vote is taken)
14	MR. PARKS: Thank you. Wayne, earlier,
15	you told me that you wanted to talk a little bit about we
16	are to the discussion topics, so while you are still front
17	and center, about consent orders or something, litigation
18	was pending.
19	MR. PUGH: Well, actually, there was one
20	on there.
21	MR. SMITH: It is similar to mine.
22	MR. PUGH: It was litigation
23	(Inaudible) monitor and consumer. It is something I have
24	always done, is just send an order out to the Respondent in
25	his file saying we are deferring action on this, so we want JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE

you to agree that you are going to keep us up on what is going on with the litigation. It just puts a little extra 3 emphasis to somebody to keep us -- (Inaudible) -- I'm sure -- for failure to abide by one of the commission's 5 rules and the grounds for this one. 6 So, if they don't keep you up on it and they sign an order, it is a violation of that order. I 7 8 just, to keep this -- I like to do it to keep things clean. 9 MR. PARKS: Okay. And I had held off 10 asking a question that I have. On renewal, they gave me for 11 a pending on -- there is a judgment in this particular 12 contractor's renewal. They have answered yes to the question of: "Are there liens and judgments?" They said: 13 14 "Yes." They put a letter here that says there was a 15 judgment awarded in small claims court. 16 So, then they went back to Chancery 17 Court where there was an injunction issued to stop the 18 homeowner from getting the money from the contractor. So, the contractor has a judgment in his hand, but it doesn't 19 20 concern the (Inaudible) clause in the contract and so now it 21 is going to arbitration. 2.2 I don't think we have a way to hold a 23 license because of an unpaid judgment since he got sent back 24 to arbitration; right? 25

MR. PUGH: (No Audible Response)
JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE
(865) 687-8981

1	MR. PARKS: Okay. Discussion topics,
2	Fire Sprinkler Piping (CMC-A).
3	MS. LAZENBY: That was something
4	(Inaudible) was going to discuss. I e-mailed him, and he
5	said he wanted to make sure that we require plumbers to take
6	additional tests to install fire sprinkler piping.
7	MR. PARKS: I'm wondering if he is
8	thinking residential. That is what we were talking about
9	earlier.
10	MR. SMITH: He doesn't do residential,
11	but you tell me.
12	MR. PARKS: Well, I mean, I'm not a
13	plumber, but I would think that it would be the board's
14	position that CMC-A does not (Inaudible) as a CMC-D;
15	right?
16	MS. LAZENBY: Right.
17	MR. PARKS: And this test with your
18	license class. Yet a full CMC can do sprinklers, which I
19	don't think many of them do it. And, now, maybe that is
20	what he means, that the CMC test
21	MS. LAZENBY: (Interposing) Maybe
22	that is what he is talking about.
23	MR. PARKS: doesn't cover the fire
24	sprinklers.
25	MS. LAZENBY: I think, before, though,

MS. LAZENBY: I think, before, though,
JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE
(865) 687-8981

9/23/08 BOARD FOR LICENSING CONTRACTORS MEETING

Don Orr had always said if you had a plumber license and you had the Fire Marshal's required sprinkler license, you could do it. But without -- (Inaudible), I don't -- (Inaudible).

See, the Fire Marshal's office, they charge for -- (Inaudible)

MR. PARKS: Part of this --

MR. NEAL: And we will -- If we ever get into a ruling that for missing the classes, which we probably were threatening to do, we may need a separate class for sprinklers instead of it being one of their subs leading through classes.

MR. PARKS: Next, where the parent companies assume names, and I think there is one e-mail in behind tab 7 where Carolyn is requesting Beth and I about assumed names of the parent companies.

And what had happened was, apparently, in this is one licensee has applied -- I think they have got -- one entity has got two licenses and they are trying to use the same financial statement for both licenses. And there is a deficit on one.

So, that would just, you know, double it or do something to it on the second one and might, you know -- And so I wanted it brought to the board rather than me ruling on it.

MR. PUGH: It is the same corporation.

JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE

(865) 687-8981

1	It is the same legal entity.
2	MR. PARKS: They have two different
3	licenses and we require a financial statement in the name of
4	the licensee. We do occasionally accept the parent's
5	financial audit of reviewed statements.
6	MR. PUGH: In this situation, it is not
7	a parent company. This is a corporation doing business as
8	and even an assumed name?
9	MS. LAZENBY: They have several
10	different companies like franchises that they register with
11	the Secretary of State. They have one control number and
12	they have several assumed names.
13	MR. PUGH: Okay. In that situation,
14	that is one corporation acting under assumed names and they
15	have only one legal name. It is not separate legal
16	entities. They are not subsidiary corporations. They are
17	just one legal entity acting with a different name, which is
18	permissible under the law to act under a different name.
19	A corporation, as long as you file with
20	the Secretary the State, you are good. You are allowed six.
21	So, I mean, we are dealing with one entity. Is there
22	anything in the statute that says
23	MS. LAZENBY: (Negative shake of head)
24	MR. PUGH: I know that this is a new
25	ruling we are talking about.
	JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE

MR. PARKS: We have always had -- We have always interpreted the law, if you have a contract in 3 the name your license is in -- and in fact I will say we are thankful for openly using assumed names before signing it 5 Larry Parks, et cetera or whatever. They have never been 6 challenged on it, but that doesn't mean -- (Inaudible) --7 keep doing it, fortunately. 8 MR. NEAL: It is not a matter of whether 9 we can say we can or we can't. That name that they bid in 10 doesn't have a license. And I handled one company in 11 particular that had about nine assumed names. And they 12 filed a bid in one of those nine assumed names saying the 13 Secretary the State says it is fine. It is fine with the 14 Secretary of State, but it is not fine with the licensing 15 board because when you turn in a bid and that name has no 16 license, then you have violated the licensing board. 17 MR. PUGH: And what is the question 18 here? 19 MR. NEAL: Whether you can bid in an 20 assumed name or not. 21 MR. PUGH: Is he looking at a licensing -- (Inaudible) 22 23 MR. NEAL: Yes. 24 MS. LAZENBY: But I don't think it has 25 come up because they haven't, you know, got any money. So, JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE (865) 687-8981

it will be coming back to the board when they have money, when they put -- we just brought this up because just in 3 case -- (Inaudible) MR. PUGH: Mr. Chairman, let me suggest 5 this, and let me suggest that we defer this to the next 6 meeting. 7 MR. PARKS: Yes, sir. 8 MR. PUGH: And let me do some research 9 on this. 10 MR. PARKS: Okay. 11 MR. NEAL: In my case, their lawyer even 12 said, you know, the board is clean, the Secretary of State 13 has given you a legal name and you have got a charter in 14 that name and you can bid in that name. I don't know how 15 you can bid in that name if you don't have a license. 16 MR. PUGH: Well, that is -- (Inaudible) 17 The legal entity in this situation would be the corporation. The license holder should be the legal entity, not the 18 19 assumed name. 20 MR. PARKS: Right. We had them apply 21 for a bid. 22 MR. PUGH: Now, we have had problems in 23 other boards where they have issued licenses in, basically, just assumed names or something. And then when you start to 24 go to take disciplinary action and you have to serve the 25 JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE

legal entity, you realize, oh, I've got a problem, I'm not sure I've got the legal entity license. So, let me take a 3 look. MR. PARKS: Okay. So, I'm behind -- The 5 next item is revision request on pre-licensing course 6 provider. That is behind tab 8 in our book. And I'm not 7 sure I can figure out what the first one is. ${\tt MS.}$ DeBUSK: When we had somebody look 8 9 at all the materials, we tried and we passed one and opened 10 it up, but I think it is basically they just want to change 11 their practice exam. They have given us a disk, but we have 12 got to use a password to open it up, but we didn't want to 13 open them up and go ahead and move forward. 14 And then on the National -- (Inaudible) 15 their course has already been approved by you all. They are 16 going to offer a -- (Inaudible) -- course. So, there is 17 really just no change. They are just offering an extra service. And we have told them anytime they do anything 18 19 different, they have got to notify us. 20 MR. PARKS: So, we have all -- We know 21 all we need to know on National? MS. LAZENBY: Right. And then on 22 23 American, I just need you all to look at it. 24 MR. PARKS: Which test? 25 MS. LAZENBY: Business and law. JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE

1	4	MR. PARKS: Oh. Combination. Can we				
2	copy these?					
3	Λ	MS. DeBUSK: Okay. Yeah.				
4	А	MR. PARKS: So, do we need to vote on				
5	these for approval?					
6	MS. LAZENBY: Right.					
7	И	MR. PARKS: Would it be				
8	И	MR. WHITTINGTON: (Interposing) Would				
9	it be proper					
10	Λ	MS. LAZENBY: Do what?				
11	MR. WHITTINGTON: Would it be proper to					
12	vote on some of the materials that we have not seen and					
13	can't verify?					
14	MS. LAZENBY: I guess, upon approval by					
15	the chairman.					
16	MR. WHITTINGTON: Then I make a motion					
17	that we accept the changes first and foremost with American					
18	contractors after we get recommendable approval from our					
19	chairman. And then on National contractors, I make a motion					
20	we accept theirs as-is.					
21	И	MR. HUNT: Second.				
22	И	MR. PARKS: There is a second. All in				
23	favor, say aye.					
24	(Vote is taken)					
25	MR. PARKS: Thank you. The next item is					
JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE						

something we were all sent an e-mail about. And I asked the question and I'm not sure we yet have a real answer as to 3 whether they want us to nominate three of our board members or nominate three -- or this board is to nominate three 5 members from our industry. 6 MS. DeBUSK: Our engineers board read 7 the law like you all. They went ahead and nominated three 8 of their board members. 9 MR. PARKS: That is the way I read it. 10 That wasn't the way Reese read it; right? 11 MR. SMITH: That is not the way Nate has 12 read it, either. 13 MS. LAZENBY: Oh, the -- (Inaudible)? 14 MR. SMITH: Three names from your organization without -- I think that means three people 15 16 other than us -- they could be from our board, but it 17 doesn't say our board. It doesn't say our board. It 18 says --19 MS. LAZENBY: (Interposing) -- It says 20 they pay per diem. 21 MR. PUGH: We have a state law that 2.2 basically says that you cannot accept compensation from two 23 state agencies. So, if there is a per diem, we may have a 24 problem. I just had a situation with the Tennessee Athletic Commission, they will not allow members of the Attorney 25 JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE

1	General's office to serve on that commission because there
2	is a little compensation attached to it.
3	MR. PARKS: It terminates in two years,
4	so it is not a long term board.
5	MR. PUGH: No. Page 3?
6	MS. DEBUSK: Yes. It says a member of
7	the (Inaudible) receives no compensation
8	MR. PUGH: Okay.
9	MS. DEBUSK: for their services.
10	MR. PUGH: But you also have a provision
11	on page 5 that says non-ex officio members shall be entitled
12	to receive compensation provided in this (Inaudible).
13	MR. NEAL: It further says the speaker
14	something in the form of representing the Board for
15	Licensing Contractors shall have three candidates submitted.
16	MR. PARKS: But is it three contractor
17	board members submitted, or three names submitted by the
18	board?
19	MR. SMITH: I think it is three names
20	submitted by the board. I think the legislature thinks
21	that, because it the letter doesn't say that we submit
22	three names of your board members.
23	MR. PARKS: Okay. So, the AE Board did
24	it?
25	MS. LAZENBY: Yeah, they did. They have
	JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE

1 already --MR. PUGH: (Interposing) -- I will have 3 to talk to my attorney about that. MR. PARKS: What did he say? MR. PUGH: I said I will have to talk to 5 6 my attorney about that. 7 (Laughter) MR. PARKS: Well, Reece, you had one 8 9 name. I had one that was an architect in Chattanooga, but 10 it turned out the Architecture Board has already 11 nominated -- (Inaudible) -- department. I don't need to do 12 them both, I don't think. 13 I don't personally know nor can I think of Kate something. Does your name -- She is with the 14 15 Strauss, S-t-r-a-u-s-s, Company in Chattanooga. She is the 16 lead certifier. Kate Gilbert, I believe, is her name. I 17 have never met her, but I know she has taught a lead class in Chattanooga. And then somebody from construction, I 18 19 think, knows something about energy efficiency. MR. SMITH: The one I recommended was 20 21 Dean Hastings. He is a licensee. He is a developer and is 22 in the energy efficiency business. 23 MR. PARKS: Do we have a third name? 24 MR. SMITH: Will one of those names be 25 selected or --

JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE (865) 687-8981

1	MS. LAZENBY: Yeah.				
2	MR. NEAL: How about Don Orr?				
3	MS. LAZENBY: Yes. He is very good.				
4	MR. NEAL: Put him back in.				
5	MS. LAZENBY: Do we have to check with				
6	these people before we				
7	MR. NEAL: You have to find them.				
8	MR. PARKS: I mean, I think I ought to				
9	call Kate Gilbert and let her know and make sure I have				
10	permission. Now that I think about it (Inaudible)				
11	talking about how pregnant she was. So, she may not be able				
12	to do it, whatever. But she may have another month or two.				
13	Now, do you want to have a motion to accept her, or somebody				
14	else?				
15	MR. NEAL: That shouldn't bother her.				
16	We have got a vice president in our midst.				
17	MR. PARKS: Has anybody got a problem				
18	with the three names that have come up or were you being				
19	facetious on account of me?				
20	MR. NEAL: No, I don't.				
21	MR. PARKS: That is the two?				
22	MR. NEAL: Yeah.				
23	MR. PARKS: Okay.				
24	MR. NEAL: He knows how to turn the				
25	light on and off.				
	JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE				
	(0.65) (0.5 0.004				

1	MS. LAZENBY: And if anybody is					
2	efficient					
3	MR. PARKS: Any other names? Do we have					
4	a motion that these three, Denny Hastings, Steve Gilbert,					
5	and Don Orr?					
6	MR. SMITH: So move.					
7	MR. NEAL: They are on the					
8	(Inaudible)					
9	MR. PARKS: Is there a second?					
10	MR. NEAL: Second.					
11	MR. PARKS: All in favor, say aye.					
12	(Vote is taken.)					
13	MR. PARKS: Keith, OA requirements that					
14	you want to discuss?					
15	MR. WHITTINGTON: Yes. Several of the					
16	interviews that I conducted this morning have an officer and					
17	a QA or and a lot of times a QA with a power of attorney					
18	representing the company appear before the board, even					
19	though every single point of the licensure has already been					
20	met, has already been reviewed by staff.					
21	And a lot of these people are from large					
22	companies that come from great distances. We have talked					
23	amongst ourselves this morning, some as far away as Oregon,					
24	Utah, Michigan, Florida, Alabama, Louisiana, North Carolina.					
25	We have had them from Virgina today. And they have met all					
	JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE					

the requirements of our license. And I feel as though when they meet all 3 of these requirements, that we are unnecessarily causing them the burden of travel to come in to appear before the 5 licensing board for a two-minute interview. I think that we 6 should discuss this. 7 I know I have talked to two or three and 8 they say, well, you know, there is pros and cons, you've got 9 professional test takers that perform the services and 10 duties of a qualifying agent for many different companies, 11 but I think that we should discuss the fact that we could 12 require that the QA have been employed for the company for a 13 minimum of six months to a year. We already require that if 14 the QA were to leave the company, the company no longer has 15 a license. I think that they --16 MR. PARKS: (Interposing) -- Ninety (90) 17 days. They have got 90 days to relicense. 18 MR. WHITTINGTON: They have ninety days 19 to replace them. So, I just feel like that if they meet it, 20 we would treat them as a waived applicant and let a member 21 of the board sign off, provided that they meet those 2.2 requirements. 2.3 And then, naturally, there were some 24 that did come in that had questions and things wrong with 25 the application and they should come on in for an interview. JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

9/23/08 BOARD FOR LICENSING CONTRACTORS MEETING

I guess I just wanted to bring the question up for discussion by the board to see what everyone else thought. 3 MR. PARKS: In years past, it was 4 discussed at length; right, Reece? 5 MR. SMITH: Yeah. MR. PARKS: Because he is the only one 6 7 who was here that long, that far back, I think, when the QA 8 thing actually came about. 9 MR. SMITH: I see what he is talking 10 about. And it is -- and people have millions of dollars in 11 that emerging account when they came in and checked his 12 blood pressure and -- (Inaudible) -- and then sent him back 13 home. 14 MR. PARKS: And I don't have a problem 15 with it and certainly if a resume' on the QA was attached 16 and that resume' either showed, you know, a long history of 17 being at one company, and even if it is a brand new history

of a new company -- (Inaudible) --

I have seen many a case of very small companies where the QA was often, most often a male, he died, his wife kept the company going, she hired somebody to run it. So, that upsets your and they have got to be there a while thing. But that was properly explained to us and then that has happened and a change of QA mode versus a new adaptation mode, but the principle is the same.

JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE (865) 687-8981

1	How are we going to get around page 60,				
2	paragraph F?				
3	MR. SMITH: Well, you probably wrote it.				
4	MR. PARKS: Yeah, I mean, we put that				
5	rule in there. I was around then. We put that rule in				
6	recently. Probably Cliff, too.				
7	MR. PUGH: One of you tell us if we can,				
8	by policy, considering				
9	MR. SMITH: (Interposing) What does				
10	it cost to travel today versus ten years ago.				
11	MR. PUGH: And that was probably written				
12	in the '96 I bet that was in the '96 amendment January				
13	31, '96 amendment, I bet, when that went out.				
14	Okay. This paragraph 3, under this				
15	rule, the top, the whole paragraph: "In order to obtain a				
16	certificate authorizing the applicant to operate as a				
17	contractor, the following persons must obtain a successful				
18	score on the examination in the appropriate classification,				
19	and may be required to appear before the board for an				
20	interview." It doesn't say "shall." It says "may."				
21	MR. PARKS: So, that makes all of that				
22	optional?				
23	MR. PUGH: That makes all of the process				
24					
	optional.				
25	optional. MR. PARKS: Well, and that is the reason JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE				

```
we don't interview everybody.
                         MR. PUGH: It may be required.
 3
                          MR. PARKS: Well, then, we can adopt a
 4
     policy that when it is a non-owner -- Don't braq yet. We
 5
     could adopt the policy when it is a non-owner officer,
 6
      someone without an ownership interest unless they provide a
 7
     resume' and, you know, satisfactory proof of employment.
 8
                         MR. SMITH: Is that one thing we are
9
     going to ask staff to --
10
                         MR. PARKS: (Interposing) -- Well, the
11
     board members who reviews these waive 5 eventually.
12
                          MR. SMITH: True.
13
                          MR. PARKS: Supposedly.
                         MR. TICKLE: Yeah. Are you going to put
14
15
     a title up for how long -- (Inaudible)
16
                         MR. PARKS: (Interposing) -- I don't
17
     think we can do that, because of the circumstances. If a QA
18
     died, I have seen so many small companies and the example I
19
      just used where the QA dies that the wife or the spouse
20
     hires a new QA person to carry on to manage the business.
21
                         MR. NEAL: Well, just as sure as we put
22
     all of our needs down to one day, we won't have ten
23
     applicants to interview if we waive everybody that is a QA
24
     and we waive all the rest of them that is a QA, we can do it
25
     all in half a day or less and go home. We can have this
               JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE
                             (865) 687-8981
```

business meeting. We can have it done in one day, because you know how many we waive now. 3 MR. PARKS: Oh, yeah. 4 MR. NEAL: And if you add to that the 5 QA's, you will only have a few headache ones to come in, and 6 that's all. MR. SMITH: Well, they stop them before 7 8 they get there. 9 MR. NEAL: And if that is the case, I 10 mean, the ten headaches, we could probably settle those over 11 the phone. And if he says, hey, I've got everything except 12 this right here and I say, Reece says or Larry says or 13 anybody says, we can get this and then call me back. And 14 they wouldn't have to come in, either. I don't disagree with what you are saying. I have had a number of them come 15 16 all the way from California. 17 MR. PARKS: I know. 18 MR. NEAL: It is really a pain in the --19 but --20 MR. PARKS: And it increases --21 (Inaudible) -- changes -- (Inaudible) -- versus we waive the new ones. (Inaudible) -- like if they have to flag those to 22 try to -- (Inaudible) -- and get in the mode of brain 23 24 numbness if you want to do one after the other. 25 (Inaudible) -- And when you are aware of that situation, JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE

1	since you flagged it, there is that question.					
2	MR. NEAL: In other words, just say this					
3	is a QA?					
4	MR. PARKS: Yeah. This is a You					
5	know, QA is not in my summary. There is a little sticky					
6	note on that, but (Inaudible) time crunch you get					
7	under.					
8	I guess we are in agreement on					
9	MR. SMITH: Yeah, we sort of have to					
10	wait on the interview process.					
11	MR. HUNT: Well, what is the pitfall of					
12	not having the non-owner QA come in for that interview?					
13	What are we going to miss out on?					
14	MR. WHITTINGTON: A professional test					
15	taker.					
16	MR. PARKS: Kelly knows. Somebody even					
17	brought me one today they thought was a professional test					
18	taker.					
19	MR. HUNT: I did. I had a guy, he is					
20	the qualifying agent probably in about 25 states for this					
21	one company.					
22	MR. PARKS: And he is not an owner, is					
23	he?					
24	MR. HUNT: Right. He is not an owner.					
25	And that is all he can do, is					

JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE (865) 687-8981

1	MR. SMITH: And he was an employee?			
2	MR. HUNT: Yeah. They have been			
3	accumulated over about a eight or nine year period, so			
4	MR. PARKS: Did you get your question			
5	answered?			
6	MR. HUNT: Yeah. I'm just trying to			
7	think of the down side to taking this step.			
8	MR. PARKS: You just have to			
9	(Inaudible) and ask another question (Inaudible) or			
10	ask a further question.			
11	MR. SMITH: Can we ask for a pay stub, a			
12	W-2 or something?			
13	MS. LAZENBY: (Affirmative Nod of Head)			
14	To prove that they have been there for six months?			
15	MR. SMITH: I mean, like, you know,			
16	if			
17	MR. PARKS: I think if we ask for a			
18	resume' on the QA, whether he is non-owner or owner, you			
19	know, that is they are perjuring themselves if they			
20	falsify that.			
21	MR. NEAL: Well, if we are going to do			
22	that, we are going to have to put some kind of rule in			
23	effect or policy or something.			
24	MR. PUGH: I'm not completely familiar			
25	with the policy.			
	JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE			

1	MR. PARKS: Right.			
2	MR. HUNT: But you know what? I'm for			
3	moving forward with it. If we run into problems, we can			
4	always change it.			
5	MR. PARKS: Yeah. We are still the only			
6	states that does them.			
7	MR. PUGH: I'm looking at the			
8	corporation partnership statute. And it says that			
9	corporations and partnerships may engage in the business of			
10	contracting, provided at least one of the major stockholders			
11	are at least a full-time employee. (Inaudible) And as an			
12	employee, they have to have the power to bind the work			
13	relationship.			
14	MS. LAZENBY: Do we need to redo our			
15	(Inaudible)?			
16	MR. PARKS: Wayne, can we dismiss the			
17	requirement for a resume' on a non-officer, a non-owner, a			
18	QA?			
19	MR. PUGH: A resume' or something to			
20	prove employment?			
21	MR. PARKS: Yeah.			
22	MR. PUGH: I think you could do that.			
23	MS. LAZENBY: And then (Inaudible)			
24	MR. PARKS: Could we say about policy			
25	reduction, you know, to the board's satisfaction proof of			
	JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE			
	(865) 687-8981			

1	<pre>employment, full-time employment?</pre>					
2	MR. PUGH: And authority to bind the					
3	company.					
4	MR. PARKS: Yeah.					
5	MR. PUGH: I think you could do that.					
6	MR. PARKS: Maybe you can strengthen the					
7	power of attorney under, you know, penalty of perjury, I do					
8	so swear that					
9	MS. LAZENBY: Right now with all of					
10	the (Inaudible) says is I'm going to act as					
11	(Inaudible)					
12	MR. PARKS: Yeah. Maybe we need to do					
13	that.					
14	MR. PUGH: Do we have a power of					
15	attorney form?					
16	MR. PARKS: We hadn't had					
17	MR. NEAL: Above nine, could we say ten					
18	years of age and full-time employee or officer and is trying					
19	to act at a qualifying agent on the company's behalf, take					
20	the examinations and/or interview in applying for a					
21	Tennessee contractor's license pursuant to TCA Rule 6915:					
22	"Employee officer has sufficient knowledge of the defined					
23	corporation or partnership." So, it says that he can					
24	bind					
25	MR. PUGH: (Interposing) Has					
	JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE					

1	sufficient knowledge?					
2	MR. NEAL: Yeah.					
3	MR. PUGH: Yeah, we probably ought to					
4	reword that one. What does 62-6-615 say?					
5	MR. PARKS: I think that is where you					
6	were reading from, find the corporation first has sufficient					
7	knowledge of the business in which the person is licensed to					
8	perform.					
9	MR. NEAL: No, that is not I was					
10	reading Rule 62-6"					
11	MR. PUGH: What page?					
12	MS. LAZENBY: Right here.					
13	MR. NEAL: This power of attorney takes					
14	care of it all. It just says he has sufficient knowledge.					
15	(Inaudible)					
16	MS. LAZENBY: You can try it.					
17	MR. NEAL: It says on this power of					
18	attorney down there at the bottom too that we would have					
19	(Inaudible)					
20	MS. LAZENBY: Yeah. We can fix that.					
21	MR. PARKS: Are we happy on that?					
22	MS. LAZENBY: So, is that what we will					
23	start doing now, is not interviewing?					
24	MR. PARKS: It will be set up for the					
25	board member to look at the file and determine					
JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE						
	(865) 687-8981					

(Inaudible) -- There will be some, a few, that will still have to be brought in or come in. Then, whoever gets them 3 to waive will have to -- (Inaudible) MR. SMITH: They might need a little bit more than 4 or 5 days to get it filed, because if they do 5 6 have to come in and they are coming from Osh Kosh --7 (Inaudible) MR. PARKS: Yeah. And, you know, I 8 9 think -- Send this to me. And I know you were getting 10 bombarded on the 20th in the, you know, the latter part of 11 the month for -- (Inaudible) -- I would much rather have 12 that kind of trouble than that kind of trouble. 13 MR. SMITH: And I think we could look at 14 those waivers and it is --15 MR. PARKS: Yeah. 16 MR. SMITH: They are licensed in twelve 17 states and you have got \$10 million working, they are not running a ringer in here to take this test. 18 19 MS. DeBUSK: Our next board meeting, next November 18th, is that okay with everyone here? 20 21 MR. PARKS: You asked me that this 2.2 morning. 23 MS. LAZENBY: Tuesday. 24 MR. PUGH: You were talking about rules 25 earlier, Mr. Chairman. That is one rule that you need to JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE

1 change. MR. PARKS: Yeah, and we would like to 3 change to back it up. The law says six times a year. Otherwise, we could meet the week after Thanksqiving. That is just the first week of December, which is when we have 5 done this for the renewal. You know, personally I would prefer Wednesday, the 19th, if we know it is going to be a 6 7 8 one-day, but I can be here on the -- (Inaudible) 9 MS. LAZENBY: I think we've got the 18th 10 and 19th. 11 MR. PARKS: I hear two Wednesdays. Do I 12 hear other Wednesdays? 13 MR. NEAL: I cannot be here that date. 14 (Off the record discussion) 15 MR. PARKS: All right. Anything else to 16 come before the board today? 17 (Nothing indicated) 18 MR. PARKS: Reconvene at 9:00 tomorrow 19 morning for a rulemaking. We stand adjourned. Thereupon, the hearing adjourned. 20 * * * * * * 21 22 23 24 25

JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE (865) 687-8981

00057	
1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I do hereby certify that the foregoing
4	transcript is a true, complete, and accurate record of the
5	proceedings had in the board meeting of the Board for
6	Licensing Contractors.
7	I do hereby further certify that I am of
8	neither kin, counsel nor interest to any party hereto.
9	
10	
11	
12	
12	James G. Parks
13	Court Reporter
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	TIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE

JIM PARKS * ALLIED COURT REPORTING SERVICE (865) 687-8981